

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,557	09/	15/2003	Jeffory T. Curry	03-234	2276	
719	7590	08/01/2006		EXAMINER		
-	LLAR INC.		CRANE, DANIEL C			
· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 N.E. ADAMS STREET PATENT DEPT.				PAPER NUMBER	
PEORIA, II	L 61629649	0	·	3725		
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	5 ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
10/662,557	CURRY ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Daniel C. Crane	3725					
appears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address					
DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	ICATION. A reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
on.						
Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
l/or election requirement.						
ner.						
	by the Examiner.					
ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	Application No.					
st of the certified copies no	t received.					
4\ [T] -t:!	Summany /DTO 442\					
Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
'						
	Examiner Daniel C. Crane PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 In DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY (136). In no event, however, may a condition and will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become a condition of this communication, even the communication of this communication, even the communication of this communication. The parte Quayle, 1935 C. The drawing (s) be held in abeyance the drawing (s) the drawing (s) and the drawing (s) the drawing	10/662,557 CURRY ET AL. Examiner				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,557

Art Unit: 3725

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS ON FORMAL MATTERS

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As to claim 1, it is unclear whether the "crimping apparatus" (lines 5 and 6) forms a part of the apparatus. Accordingly, the scope of the subject matter is indeterminate. Failure to provide antecedence for "coarse adjustment indicia" and "fine adjustment indicia" in claims 8 and 17 renders the subject matter indefinite.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viegener (6,477,757). The first member 1 and the second member 2 are connected together and can be removably coupled to the crimping apparatus 4.

Claims 1-4, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoff (4,887,451). A first member 32 is connected to a second member 24. An adjustment mechanism includes the spacer 80 and the coupling mechanism 70 that has a threaded portion 62 that connects the first member with the second member. The frame is shown in Figure 1 at 14.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stiver (5,056,351). First member 10 or 40 and second member 3 are removable from the frame member 5, 12. The first member and second member are connectable to one another by contact and placement of corresponding surfaces.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Phipps (4,781,055). First member 101 is threadably connected to second member 109 and is removable from the frame.

Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phipps (4,781,055) in view of either one of Hoff (4,887,451) or Stiver (5,056,351). It is common in this crimping art to provide wedge dies with biasing means so as to cause the dies to automatically

Art Unit: 3725

retract after the crimping operation and when the pressure-applying device is relieved as evidenced by either one of the secondary references. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Phipps dies by further providing a biasing feature as taught by either one of Hoff or Stiver for the above noted motivation.

Claims 5-8 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phipps (4,781,055) in view of either one of either one of Smith (4,107,964) or Stiver (5,056,351). Two different indicia used to accurately provide the position of a threaded component is a wellrecognized indicating provision within the metal working art. Smith clearly shows this to be the case where indicia A-D and indicia 19 provide both a coarse and fine adjustment. Similarly, Stiver shows this to be the case in Figure 5 where coarse and fine adjustments are indicated by markings 24 and 28. Accordingly, the skilled artisan having the benefit of the Smith and Stiver teachings would have made obvious such a provision in Phipps' crimping tool so as to accurately provide the crimp height of the tool.

PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANTS

Applicant has failed to provide a copy of the British document, therefore, the prior art has been considered as noted on the IDS of November 1, 2004.

PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,557

Art Unit: 3725

Page 5

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must

specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the

references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references

cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516.

The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks, can be reached at (571) 272-4419.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted by facsimile transmission

at all times to Fax number (571) 273-8300. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any

transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Examiner's

Fax number is (571) 273-4516.

DCCrane

July 20, 2006

Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3725